



**Texas General Land Office  
Community Development and Revitalization Program  
CDBG-MIT (Pub. L. 115-123)  
Language Access Plan for Limited English Proficient Persons**

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has allocated Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) funds to the state of Texas. The Texas General Land Office (GLO) is administering the CDBG-MIT funds for the state of Texas and will be responsible for developing the state of Texas Mitigation Action Plan tied to the CDBG-MIT funds.

The GLO is responsible for ensuring that all citizens, including persons with disabilities and limited English proficiency (LEP), have equal access to information about the programs. The GLO will ensure that program information is available in the appropriate languages for the geographic areas to be served in the 140 counties that are a part of the State Mitigation Action Plan.

The GLO has evaluated the population of persons with limited English proficiency in the 140 participating counties. The GLO consulted the Final Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI, Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons, published on January 22, 2007, in the Federal Register (72 FR 2732) at: [https://www.lep.gov/sites/lep/files/resources/HUD\\_guidance\\_Jan07.pdf](https://www.lep.gov/sites/lep/files/resources/HUD_guidance_Jan07.pdf).

Based on the four-factor analysis below, the GLO has concluded that it will translate vital documents into the following five (5) languages: Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese, Korean, and Arabic. The additional seventeen (17) languages identified below will be accessible upon request.

Citizens with disabilities or those who need technical assistance can contact the GLO office for assistance, either via: TDD 512-463-5330 or TX Relay Service 7-1-1.

**Definition of a Limited English Proficient Individual:**

Limited English proficient (LEP) refers to individuals who do not speak English as their primary language and have a limited ability to read, speak, write, or understand English.

This definition includes individuals with sensory impairments (SI), who are Deaf or hard of hearing and communicate using American Sign Language (ASL), have speech impairments, or that are blind or have visual impairments. LEP individuals may be entitled to language services or communication assistance for a service, benefit, or program that receives federal assistance.

**Four Factor Analysis**

The GLO is required to take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to LEP persons. This "reasonableness" standard is intended to be flexible and fact-dependent.

The GLO conducted an individualized assessment that balances the following four factors:

1. Number or proportion of LEP persons served or encountered in the eligible service population (“served or encountered” includes those persons who would be served or encountered by the recipient if the persons were afforded adequate education and outreach).

The GLO took the following steps to identify the number of LEP persons in the 140 counties under the State Mitigation Action Plan’s eligible counties.

- Downloaded Census Table **B16001** (table showing less than "very well" English proficiency) from the 2015 American Community Survey 5-year estimates (most recent available for all 140 Counties).
- Extracted 140 CDBG-MIT Eligible Counties.
- Summed up totals for all languages and compared percentages for each language (speakers of “X” Language who speak English less than "very well").
- For languages with high totals, percentages were then broken down by county to see if any particular counties were showing figures above 5% or 1,000.

No LEP population other than Spanish exceeds 5% of the total population of the 140 counties or county’s population. However, there are 21 other languages that have 1,000 LEP speakers or above in the 140 counties.

The total percent of the population across all 140 counties who are Spanish speakers who speak English less than "very well" is 11.89%, a total population of 2,252,129 people. One hundred and seven of the 140 counties have populations with 5% or more of Spanish speakers who speak English less than "very well". In looking at the percentage of LEP Spanish speakers in each county, Starr (51.36%), Hidalgo (37%), Garza (37.49%), Cameron (28%), Willacy (20.50%), and Zavala (26.67%), counties have the highest percentage of LEP Spanish speakers out of the 140 counties.

The second highest total of LEP population is Vietnamese speakers who speak English less than "very well". The total percent of the population across all 140 counties who are Vietnamese speakers who speak English less than "very well" is 0.56%; a total population of 107,620 people. The county with the largest Vietnamese LEP population is Harris County with 45,290 Vietnamese LEP speakers or 1.13 percent of the population. Dallas County with 16,003 individuals or .70 percent of the county’s population and Fort Bend County with 8,121 individuals or 1.33 percent of the county’s population have the second and third largest Vietnamese LEP population.

The third highest total of LEP population is Chinese speakers who speak English less than "very well". The total percent of the population across all 140 counties who are Chinese speakers who speak English less than "very well" is 0.314 percent; a total population of 59,706 people. The County with the largest Chinese LEP speakers is Harris County with 22,241 LEP Chinese speakers in the county or .55 percent of the county’s population. Dallas (6,760 individuals or .295 percent of the county’s population) and Fort Bend (10,947 individuals or 1.79% of the county’s population) counties have the second and third largest Chinese LEP population.

The fourth highest total LEP population by specific language are Korean speakers who speak English less than "very well". The total percent of the population across all 140 counties who are Korean speakers who speak English less than "very well" is 0.115 percent; a total population of 21,936 people. The counties with the highest percentage of population of Korean speakers who speak English less than "very well" are Harris County (4,540 LEP speakers or .11 percent of the county's population), Dallas County (4,831 LEP speakers or .21 percent of the county's population), and Denton County (3,610 LEP speakers or .53 percent of the county's population).

The fifth highest total LEP population are Arabic speakers. In total for all 140 counties Arabic LEP speakers represent .103% of the total population or 19,587 individuals. The counties with the highest percentage of Arabic LEP speakers are Harris County (.199 percent of the county's population or 8,996 individuals), Dallas County (.15 percent of the county's population or 3,486 individuals), and Travis County (1,346 individuals or .129 percent of the county's population)

2. Frequency with which LEP persons encounter the program.

The CDBG-MIT programs address the mitigation needs of homeowners and communities throughout Texas- particularly those individuals and communities impacted by 2015, 2016, and 2017 disasters.

Homeowners are likely to have frequent contact with the program as they apply for and receive assistance.

3. Nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the program.

The CDBG-MIT programs provide funds for mitigation activities across impacted communities. These programs are important to the state of Texas as the programs will provide funds for large scale infrastructure and planning projects and assistance for homeowners.

4. Resources available to the recipient and costs to the recipient.

The GLO is taking all reasonable steps to provide access for LEP persons for the CDBG-MIT programs. The availability of resources, however, may limit the provision of language services in some instances. "Reasonable steps" may cease to be reasonable when the costs imposed substantially exceed the benefits.

Table 1. Potential Interaction with LEPs by Program

Program	Applicants	Potential Interaction with LEP Persons
2015 Floods State Mitigation Competition	Units of Local Government, Indian Tribes, and Council of Governments	None
2016 Floods State Mitigation Competition	Units of Local Government, Indian Tribes,	None

	and Council of Governments	
Hurricane Harvey State Mitigation Competition	Units of local government Indian Tribes, Councils of Governments, Non-governmental organization sponsored by a unit of local government, State agencies, and Service districts	None
Regional Mitigation Program (COG MODs)	Units of local government and Indian Tribes	None
Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP): Supplemental	Units of local government and Indian Tribes	None
Coastal Resiliency Program	Units of local government, State agencies, Non-governmental organizations, Navigation districts, and Port authorities	None
Housing Oversubscription Supplemental	Homeowners	Reconstruct owner-occupied single-family homes damaged
Resilient Home Program	Homeowners	Reconstruct owner-occupied single-family homes damaged
Hazard Mitigation Plans	Units of local government and Indian Tribes	None
Resilient Community Program	Units of local government, including cities, towns, counties, and tribal governments located within a CDBG-MIT eligible area	None
Regional and State Planning	State Government	None

## Language Assistance

- The GLO assistance to LEP persons may include, but is not limited to:
  - Oral interpretation services;
  - Bilingual staff;
  - Telephone service lines interpreter;
  - Written translation services;
  - Notices to staff and subrecipients of the availability of LEP services; or
  - Referrals to community liaisons proficient in the language of LEP persons.

- Posters notifying LEP individuals of the availability of interpretation services in the languages identified Table 2 will be available in the application in-take locations and on the GLO website, [recovery.texas.gov](http://recovery.texas.gov).
- Website Content—using the same prioritization as noted above, translated web content will be posted notifying LEP individuals of the availability of interpretation services.

### **Vital Documents**

- Vital documents may include the following written materials:
  - State Action Plan and amendments;
  - Notice of assistance availability;
  - Applications for assistance for homeowners;
  - Consent and complaint forms;
  - Written notices of rights, denial, loss, or decreases in benefits or services;
  - Notices advising LEP persons of free language assistance; and
  - Notices of public hearings,
- Translation will be prioritized for those documents that are most needed to alleviate an immediate problem for an individual.
- Posters in the languages identified Table 2 will be available in the application in-take locations notifying LEP individuals of the availability of translation assistance with documents.
- Website Content—using the same prioritization as noted above, translated web content and vital documents will be posted.

### **Language Service Protocols**

Translated web content and vital documents are available on the GLO's website for web-based access to CDBG-MIT programs, services and activities. Written Contact, in the form of email, letters, etc., and related responses are routed to either internal resources or external translation service provider(s) for translation, as needed.

Phone calls from persons of limited English proficiency may be transferred to internal staff or vendor(s) with the required language fluency.

The GLO contracts with vendors for telephone, document, and web content translation services and provision of in-person translations outside of the GLO staff's capabilities on an as-needed basis.

## **Staff Training**

Staff will be trained to recognize and work with persons of limited English proficiency, and the use of appropriate language translation services. Internal staff will have access to a list of all staff members with fluency in languages other than English.

Staff training documents will also be changed in order to reflect a focus on training subrecipients and other grant administrators to recognize and work with persons of limited English proficiency.

## **Notice to the Public**

The GLO will post on its website the languages that are available for translation and interpretation services assistance.

## **Monitoring and Updating Language Access Plan**

The GLO will monitor and update the Language Access Plan, including seeking input from beneficiaries and the community on how it is working and what other actions should be taken, as needed.

The state is committed to providing access to the Action Plan, Action Plan Amendments and programs detailed within to all its citizens. These efforts include special consideration for those with limited English proficiency (LEP) and persons with disabilities. The Action Plan and substantial amendments will be translated into Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese, Korean, and Arabic. to reach the LEP population in the impacted areas. Citizens with disabilities or those who need technical assistance can contact the GLO office for assistance, either via:

- Telephone for the hearing impaired 512-463-5330 or TX Relay Service 7-1-1;
- Email at [cdr@recovery.texas.gov](mailto:cdr@recovery.texas.gov); or
- Mail to the General Land Office, Community Development and Revitalization, Post Office Box 12873, Austin, Texas 78711-2873.

The GLO website, <http://recovery.texas.gov/>, will contain direct links to the Action Plan, amendments, reports and recovery programs. It will be consistently updated to provide the latest available information.

Table 2: Top 5 Languages Spoken by LEP Speakers by County

County	Spanish	Vietnamese	Chinese	Korean	Arabic	County	Spanish	Vietnamese	Chinese	Korean	Arabic	County	Spanish	Vietnamese	Chinese	Korean	Arabic
Anderson	2,668	161	0	10	0	Fort Bend	38,165	8,121	10,947	406	1,111	Matagorda	4,054	267	0	0	0
Angelina	5,439	58	168	30	23	Frio	2,938	0	25	0	0	Milam	887	32	2	25	0
Aransas	1,027	189	0	0	39	Gaines	2,020	59	0	0	0	Montague	738	0	0	0	0
Archer	245	0	0	2	0	Galveston	14,660	948	680	86	171	Montgomery	34,566	399	866	211	144
Atascosa	6,496	0	0	0	49	Garza	2,301	0	4	0	7	Nacogdoches	4,060	11	0	2	0
Austin	1,898	0	0	0	0	Gillespie	1,756	0	1	11	27	Navarro	4,681	2	0	0	0
Bandera	240	16	4	0	0	Goliad	264	0	1	0	0	Newton	54	18	33	0	0
Bastrop	6,593	25	129	0	0	Gonzales	2,303	0	0	5	0	Nueces	29,223	508	324	249	114
Baylor	41	0	0	0	0	Grayson	4,097	13	201	68	0	Orange	800	150	46	0	2
Bee	1,975	6	0	0	0	Gregg	6,860	160	58	56	19	Palo Pinto	1,697	4	0	0	6
Blanco	572	0	0	0	0	Grimes	1,910	8	0	0	0	Parker	2,734	0	77	27	0
Bosque	954	0	0	8	0	Guadalupe	7,695	202	71	83	26	Polk	2,176	9	6	4	8
Bowie	1,691	56	320	17	0	Hall	313	0	0	0	0	Real	107	0	0	0	0
Brazoria	19,141	1,714	574	137	43	Hardin	520	64	73	0	0	Red River	181	0	0	0	7
Brazos	13,636	160	2,178	891	143	Harris	681,373	45,290	22,241	4,540	8,006	Refugio	465	0	0	0	0
Brown	798	0	5	0	0	Harrison	2,964	12	0	0	0	Robertson	857	13	0	0	43
Burleson	855	0	31	0	0	Hartley	532	0	0	0	0	Rusk	2,651	25	14	0	0
Caldwell	3,580	0	0	0	0	Hays	11,336	97	150	44	0	Sabine	82	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	1,725	107	280	0	24	Henderson	2,690	126	36	3	5	San Augustine	110	3	0	0	0
Callahan	151	0	0	10	0	Hidalgo	233,749	114	189	452	21	San Jacinto	892	0	0	0	0
Cameron	109,788	179	144	55	158	Hill	1,941	26	11	0	5	San Patricio	5,135	0	20	41	0
Cass	310	7	8	3	0	Hood	1,756	0	9	3	0	Shelby	1,667	0	9	0	0
Chambers	2,922	84	0	0	49	Hopkins	1,770	27	8	0	0	Smith	13,127	146	120	9	0
Cherokee	4,003	34	0	22	11	Houston	655	0	0	10	0	Somervell	603	0	0	0	0
Clay	150	13	0	0	0	Jack	566	0	0	4	0	Starr	28,947	0	0	8	0
Coleman	120	0	0	0	0	Jackson	739	0	12	0	0	Stephens	748	0	0	0	0
Collingswo	345	0	0	0	10	Jasper	666	23	0	40	0	Tarrant	163,986	16,709	2,626	2,479	3,396
Colorado	872	0	0	4	0	Jefferson	15,891	2,065	174	62	58	Throckmorton	102	0	0	0	0
Comal	4,611	16	27	28	0	Jim Wells	4,904	0	0	17	0	Tom Green	6,246	27	51	103	0
Comanche	1,257	0	0	0	0	Johnson	6,904	43	202	0	0	Travis	109,030	6,253	4,150	1,575	1,346
Cooke	1,655	22	0	0	7	Jones	1,282	2	0	0	1	Trinity	237	0	0	0	0
Coryell	2,057	19	8	183	5	Karnes	1,148	0	0	0	0	Tyler	287	0	0	12	0
Dallas	401,796	16,003	6,760	4,831	3,486	Kaufman	6,195	77	20	11	0	Upshur	738	15	0	0	0
Delta	10	0	0	0	20	Kendall	1,681	39	0	0	0	Uvalde	2,920	9	0	0	0
Denton	36,451	3,697	2,776	3,610	581	Kleberg	3,597	0	93	0	9	Van Zandt	1,678	0	0	24	0
DeWitt	733	4	0	0	0	Lamar	804	0	5	0	0	Victoria	4,463	173	107	2	0
Dickens	119	0	0	1	0	Lavaca	825	11	17	0	0	Walker	3,221	35	59	12	11
Duval	1,468	0	0	0	0	Lee	1,057	8	33	0	0	Waller	4,387	3	0	20	3
Eastland	428	0	5	0	0	Leon	985	19	0	0	0	Washington	900	56	0	0	0
Edwards	152	0	0	0	0	Liberty	4,462	109	0	0	0	Wharton	3,366	4	7	0	0
Ellis	11,379	152	2	0	13	Limestone	2,573	67	7	1	0	Wichita	5,763	326	96	97	18
Erath	2,592	0	0	0	0	Lubbock	11,983	252	415	226	134	Willacy	4,226	14	0	0	0
Falls	1,274	0	0	0	0	Lynn	407	0	0	0	0	Williamson	21,810	1,208	1,368	772	163
Fannin	1,054	4	0	12	0	McLennan	17,686	361	555	238	57	Wilson	2,842	0	39	18	0
Fayette	993	28	0	0	0	Madison	749	16	0	0	0	Wise	3,090	0	13	8	8
Fisher	192	0	0	0	0	Marion	69	0	0	13	0	Wood	1,165	88	8	5	0
												Young	1,310	0	8	0	0
												Zavala	2,923	0	0	0	0
												<b>Total Population</b>	<b>2,252,129</b>	<b>107,620</b>	<b>59,706</b>	<b>21,936</b>	<b>19,587</b>
												<b>Percent LEP</b>	<b>11.849</b>	<b>0.566</b>	<b>0.314</b>	<b>0.115</b>	<b>0.103</b>

Table 3: Languages with At Least 1,000 LEP Speakers in Impacted Counties

County	Urdu	Tagalog	French	Hindi	Persian	Gujarati	German	Russian	Cambodian	Japanese	Laotian	Portuguese	Thai	Serbo-Croatian	Italian	Polish	French Creole
Anderson	0	2	0	0	0	0	39	0	16	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
Angelina	0	12	28	0	23	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Aransas	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Archer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	0
Austin	0	0	11	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bandera	0	0	0	0	2	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastrop	0	12	203	0	76	0	59	0	0	0	0	17	20	0	0	0	0
Baylor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0
Bosque	0	9	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bowie	0	29	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brazoria	31	754	107	55	0	146	111	35	154	112	83	36	41	49	0	0	13
Brazos	173	18	190	95	173	82	65	93	0	16	0	85	14	150	9	0	0
Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Burleson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	8	0
Caldwell	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Callahan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cameron	24	137	16	0	12	57	31	40	0	0	0	35	44	4	9	16	0
Cass	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chambers	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	130	0	0	0	65	20	0	0	0	0
Cherokee	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Clay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coleman	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Collingsworth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colorado	0	3	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comal	0	0	19	0	0	0	180	60	68	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Comanche	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cooke	4	21	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	17	6	11	0	0	0	0	0
Coryell	22	156	41	0	0	0	220	21	6	10	0	10	16	0	0	0	19
Dallas	1,442	2,118	2,001	1,478	1,116	752	458	699	994	588	948	751	723	436	303	295	56
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Denton	855	410	189	552	635	160	249	338	417	278	38	237	159	71	57	142	63
DeWitt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	5	0
Dickens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duval	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eastland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ellis	48	16	49	12	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	14	10	0	0	0	0
Erath	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0
Falls	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fannin	0	9	0	15	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0
Fayette	0	0	0	0	9	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
Fisher	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0



County	Urdu	Tagalog	French	Hindi	Persian	Gujarati	German	Russian	Cambodian	Japanese	Laotian	Portuguese	Thai	Serbo-Croatian	Italian	Polish	French Creole
Navarro	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Newton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nueces	100	421	46	0	67	54	164	25	0	43	8	0	49	9	12	26	0
Orange	0	46	75	15	0	0	8	0	25	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Palo Pinto	0	0	0	8	12	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parker	0	0	2	0	0	0	31	39	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Polk	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Red River	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refugio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Robertson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Rusk	0	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sabine	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Augustine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Jacinto	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Patricio	0	15	17	16	0	0	0	1	8	46	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Shelby	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	8	346	17	0	0	30	16	11	42	0	28	14	40	0	0	0	0
Somervell	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Starr	0	22	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stephens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tarrant	1,445	1,349	2,162	1,198	783	981	430	700	303	354	2,093	369	607	562	272	237	177
Throckmorton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tom Green	0	51	43	0	0	0	20	15	14	17	89	0	28	0	0	0	15
Travis	1,023	495	867	1,013	768	363	217	546	10	322	159	242	292	274	200	72	170
Trinity	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tyler	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Upshur	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uvalde	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	17	0	27	0	0	0	0	0
Van Zandt	0	0	0	0	20	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
Victoria	26	38	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	34	0	34	0	0	0	0	0
Walker	0	77	0	0	0	52	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Waller	0	0	0	0	47	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	37	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	20	0
Wharton	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	0
Wichita	10	43	32	0	0	18	154	14	46	87	0	37	0	0	75	0	0
Willacy	0	0	7	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Williamson	432	221	252	258	407	516	170	55	37	168	57	209	106	37	14	122	15
Wilson	0	4	5	0	13	0	29	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	117	0
Wise	0	39	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	2	0	0
Wood	0	0	3	0	0	0	6	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Young	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zavala	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Population	17,007	15,773	11,779	11,381	8,482	8,269	6,824	5,568	4,650	4,623	4,527	4,440	3,918	2,275	2,207	1,752	1,649
Percent LEP	0.089	0.083	0.062	0.060	0.045	0.044	0.036	0.029	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.023	0.021	0.012	0.012	0.009	0.009